

Education inspection framework 2019: inspecting the substance of education



The case for change

- Currently, the accountability system can divert schools from the real substance of education.
- What young people learn is too often coming second to delivering performance data.

ve the


- This data focus leads to unnecessary workload for teachers.
- Teaching to the test and narrowing of greatest negative effect on the most disad the least able children.

The proposed framework:

pupils receive.

puts the curriculum at the heart of the new framework, bringing the focus of inspection back to the substance of education.

does not include separate judgements on 'teaching, learning and assessment', and 'outcomes.'
 Instead these are considered as part of a broader view on
 the quality of education




How will the proposed framework help?

The proposed framework:


reduces the focus on data, particularly internal progress data. We hope this will help reduce unnecessary workload for teachers.



EIF 2019 – proposed inspection judgements



Judgement areas: evolution, not revolution



What is staying the same?

- Inspectors will continue to make an overall effectiveness judgement.
- On s5 inspections, inspectors will continue to make judgements about early years and sixth form in schools where they exist.
- **Four-point grading scale** (outstanding; good; RI; inadequate).
- Section 8 inspections of good schools (currently called 'shorts') will continue to start from the assumption that the school remains good.

A continued sharp focus on safeguarding


Our inspection of safeguarding will continue to be built around three core areas.

- Identify: how do leaders and other staff identify learners who may need early help or who are at risk of abuse?
- Help: what timely action do staff take to ensure that learners get the right support when they need it, including preventative work, and how well do they work with other agencies?
- Manage: how do responsible bodies and staff manage their statutory responsibilities and, in particular, how do they manage safe recruitment and respond to allegations about staff/other adults?

Inspectors will continue to judge whether safeguarding is effective or ineffective.

The importance of the curriculum

'If [children's] entire school experience has been designed to push them through mark-scheme hoops, rather than developing a deep body of knowledge, they will struggle in later study.'



Amanda Spielman, at the launch of Ofsted's Annual Report 2016/17

The curriculum is at the heart of the proposed new framework: Ofsted's working definition...

- 'The curriculum is a framework for setting out the aims of a programme of education, including the knowledge and skills to be gained at each stage (intent)
- for translating that framework over time into a structure and narrative, within an institutional context (implementation), and
- for evaluating what knowledge and skills pupils have gained against expectations (impact/achievement).









Knowledge does not sit as isolated 'information' in pupils' minds.





A new 'quality of education' judgement

Quality of education

Intent

Curriculum design, coverage and appropriateness

Implementation

- Curriculum delivery
- Teaching (pedagogy) contribution to delivering the curriculum as intended
- Assessment (formative and summative)

Impact

- Attainment and progress (including national tests and assessments)
- Reading
- Destinations

Common questions

What if I'm in the process of changing my school's curriculum?

No. There will be a transitional period. We will review the position after a year.

Is there an 'Ofsted curriculum'?

No. We support curriculum flexibility. Different schools taking radically different approaches to the curriculum will be judged fairly.

Should I get advice from a consultant or buy in specific products?

No! There is nothing mysterious here. The quality of education is about schools and trusts thinking about the curriculum carefully for themselves.

Question 1:

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposal to introduce a 'quality of education' judgement?

'Personal development' and 'behaviour and attitudes'

Personal development, behaviour and welfare

Personal development

Personal development

Judging behaviour and attitudes

Behaviour and attitudes

- High expectations, consistent and fair implementation
- Attitudes to learning
- Behaviour
- Exclusions
- Attendance
- Bullying

Judging personal development

Personal development

- Spiritual, moral, social and cultural development
- Character
- Fundamental British values
- Careers guidance
- Healthy living
- Citizenship
- Equality and diversity
- Preparation for next stage

Question 2:

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the **proposed separation** of inspection judgements about learners' personal development and learners' behaviour and attitudes?


Judgements: Leadership and management

Leadership and management

- Vision, ethos and ethics
- Staff development
- Staff workload and well-being
- Off-rolling
- Governance/oversight
- Safeguarding

Helping to reduce workload

- Inspectors will focus on what is taught and how it contributes to the school's intent.
- Inspectors will not look at schools' internal progress and attainment data.
- Inspectors will continue to have regard to published national data.
- Inspectors will consider how manage staff, taking account them.



Question 3

To what extent do you agree or disagree with our proposal not to look at non-statutory internal progress and attainment and our reasons why?

data

Proposed changes to section 8

Purpose of a section 8 inspection of a good school to **confirm** that a school remains good.



- The proposed EIF represents an evolution in what it means to be a 'good' school.
- We are proposing that a s8 inspection of a good school will focus on specific aspects of provision, mostly drawn from the quality of education judgement but also elements of behaviour, personal development without 'grading' them specifically.

Proposed changes to section 8

- Inspectors will continue to report on whether safeguarding is effective or ineffective.
- To ensure the opportunity to gather sufficient evidence while on inspection, we are proposing increasing the lead inspector's time **on site to two days.**
- A section 8 inspection of a good school inspection team than
- Arrangements for conversion and follow will remain the same.

will have a smaller

a section 5 in

	J	ANL	JAR	/ 20	19	
SUN	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	SAT
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		
	Do	wnload & Print Fr	ee Calendars Fron	www.wiki-calen	dar.com	



Question 4

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed focus of section 8 inspections of good schools and non-exempt outstanding schools and the proposal to increase the length of these inspections from the current one day to two days?

Proposal for pre-inspection preparation on the school site

In order to allow **better communication** between the lead inspector and the school, and to give the school a clear **role in preparation**, we are proposing that pre-inspection **preparation takes place on site**.

The proposal involves the inspector before the inspection.

The intention is to enable inspectors
inspection
collaboratively wherever possible.

arriving an site the afternoon

an

s to pla he



Question 5

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed introduction of site preparation for all section 5 inspections, and for section 8 inspections good schools, on the afternoon prior the inspection?

on-

We want your views: how to respond to the consultation

- The consultation is now open and runs until 4 April 2019
- You can respond to the full consultation by:
 - Completing the online questionnaire
 - By completing the form and returning it by email or post all details are in the consultation document.
- https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/education-inspection-framework-2019-inspecting-the-substance-of-education